

SUPREME COURT OF YUKON

Citation: *E.A.G. v. D.L.G.*, 2012 YKSC 38

Date: 20120515
Docket S.C. No.: 09-D4166
Registry: Whitehorse

BETWEEN:

E.A.G.

Plaintiff

AND:

D.L.G.

Defendant

Before: Mr. Justice R.S. Veale

Appearances:
Debbie Hoffman
Lynsey Mincher

Counsel for the Plaintiff
Agent for Counsel for the Defendant

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT DELIVERED FROM THE BENCH

[1] VEALE J. (Oral): There are two issues before me which, I take it, counsel, want a decision. One is the issue of whether the unsupervised access is at the daycare, and I have indicated that fairly clearly at this point. The access is at the daycare and it is unsupervised, but it is at the daycare, and that, as I understand it, is inside the daycare with respect to any play area that is concerned.

[2] The second issue is the issue of Ms. Laird seeing the children for one hour, which I understand is taking place on Tuesdays, and the children are delivered from the school to Ms. Laird's. As I understand it, the delivery from the school would be from the pick-up at 3:10, dropped off at Ms. Laird's at 3:30. They would be finished by 4:30. I am

going to order that that continue, but that D.L.G. can do the pick-up from school and the delivery to Ms. Laird, and the pick-up from Ms. Laird and the return to the daycare that day.

[3] Is there anything that is unclear about that?

[4] MS. HOFFMAN: No, just to confirm that it's otherwise, other than Tuesdays, that it's 3:30 until five o'clock, that that should form part of the order and that --

[5] THE COURT: I think you should set it all out in the order to consolidate to that extent.

VEALE J.